

REMARKS

Claims 10-16 are now pending in the application. Claim 16 has been allowed. Minor amendments have been made to the specification and claims to simply overcome the objections to the specification and rejections of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 112. The amendments to the claims contained herein are of equivalent scope as originally filed and, thus, are not a narrowing amendment. The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejections in view of the amendments and remarks contained herein.

DRAWINGS

The drawings stand objected to for certain informalities. Specifically, the Examiner notes that there are no Figures 20B and 20C present, as well as no line 28-28 in Figure 26. Applicants have removed any reference to these items. Therefore, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdrawal these objections.

SPECIFICATION

The specification stands objected to for certain informalities. Applicants have amended the specification according to the Examiner's suggestions. Therefore, reconsideration and withdrawal of this objection are respectfully requested.

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 10-12 and 14-15 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the

subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. This rejections is respectfully traversed.

Applicants have amended claims 10-12 and 14-15 in conformance with the Examiner's suggestions. As such, reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection are respectfully requested.

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 AND 103

Claims 10 and 13 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Martindale et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,505,512, hereinafter "Martindale"). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claims 12 and 15 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable Martindale in view of Wise (U.S. Pat. No. 5,806,909, hereinafter "Wise"). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

At the outset, Applicants note that claims 10 and 13 have each been amended to include the features "each of said sidewall panels disposed above said floor panel" and "at least one of said first and second sidewall panels having a storage box integrally formed therein." Martindale does not disclose a storage box formed in the sidewalls as now claimed in independent claims 10 and 13. As such, these claims should now be in condition for allowance. Therefore reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of claims 10 and 13 are respectfully requested.

Applicants note that claims 11-12 and 14-15 depend from claims 10 and 13 respectively. As such these claims should be in condition for allowance for the reasons

set forth above regarding claims 10 and 13. Therefore, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of claims 11-12 and 14-15 are respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

It is believed that all of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw all presently outstanding rejections. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action, and as such, the present application is in condition for allowance. Thus, prompt and favorable consideration of this amendment is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (248) 641-1600.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 10-24-05

By: Ryan W. Massey
Ryan W. Massey, Reg. No. 38,543

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.
P.O. Box 828
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303
(248) 641-1600

RWM/JMP